

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,054	02/17/2004	Vahe A. Adamian	10020182-2	2276
7590 12/23/2004			EXAMINER	
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			NGUYEN, JIMMY	
Legal Department, DL429 Intellectual Property Administration			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P. O. Box 7599			2829	
Loveland, CO 80537-0599			DATE MAILED: 12/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u>abr</u>				
C 2 4	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/780,054	ADAMIAN, VAHE A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jimmy Nguyen	2829				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 F	<u>ebruary 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.					
	,—					
closed in accordance with the practice under l	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)	wn from consideration. ted.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0204.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal I  6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2829

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claims Status

The examiner acknowledges claims 1 - 13, 20 - 29 and 45 - 57 have been canceled in the preliminary amendment filed 2/17/04, therefore, claims 14 - 19, 30 - 44, 58 - 65 are pending.

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 14 – 19, 30 – 44, 58 - 65 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 - 15 of U.S. Patent No. 6744262.

# Claims matching

10/780054	US patent # 6744262	
14 – 16, 18, 19	4	
17	5	
30, 38, 40, 58, 64 , 65	9	
31, 36, 37, 44, 63	13	
32, 42, 61	14	
33, 39, 41, 59, 60	10	
34, 43, 62	12	
35	<b>11</b> , ,	

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the are still in the same scope of the invention.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jimmy Nguyen at (703) 306-5858. Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-4900.

JN.

Dec 11, 2004

DAVID ZARNEKE PRIMARY EXAMINES

1 / // D